

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/088,774	BROWN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael G. Hartley	1618	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Michael G. Hartley.

(3) _____.

 (2) Gregory B. Butler (Appr. reprl0.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 November 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A call was initiated by the office to confirm the abandonment, but confirmation was not possible since Mr. Butler indicated that another patent firm may be handling the application. However, no change of address or power of atty. has been filed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)